

From: Richard Hansen
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/24/02 5:46pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

I do not like the proposed settlement.

Particularly, I don't like how loose the definition of "API" is (definition A).

Also, as far as I can tell, there is no requirement that Microsoft discloses known limitations, bugs, or security issues in the API's (once they are discovered). I would like to see that included because software developers' products are often directly affected by bugs in Microsoft's products. Microsoft knows about the bugs/limitations and can develop software products around the problem, but third party developers are sometimes left to suffer bad PR because of bugs that are not their fault. One may argue that Microsoft could purposely introduce undocumented bugs and limitations in order to limit functionality of third party and competing software products.

Section III.J.1. should be phrased much more carefully. I believe there are too many obscurities that would allow Microsoft to not document many important API's.

In general, I agree with the arguments made in the following essay:

<http://www.kegel.com/remedy/remedy2.html>

Richard Hansen